Abstract—In the field of acoustics, noise investigations involving regulated measurements, this requires sophisticated and expensive equipment and obtaining data sets for subsequent analysis becomes very laborious and complicated. We used a virtual instrument computer (PC) and appropriate software to simulate a sound level meter. This becomes a huge advantage in the design, cost and versatility of the instrument, since its functions and parameters can be easily redefined by changes in the programmed instructions. Furthermore, and this method avoids a redesign of a physical circuit. Virtual instrumentation is an indispensable tool to study, measure and evaluate complex conditions, such as acoustic spaces. In this work, the design and implementation of a sound level pressure meter in a virtual instrumentation scheme is presented. The system was implemented with Matlab and instrumentation software. The implemented noise measurement system presents a friendly interface to the user via a GUI and reduces time and costs in the determination of environmental noise measurements quite reliably.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The measurements and assessments of noise require sophisticated and expensive equipment that can be replaced by virtual instrumentation applications developed according to specific needs.

In large cities, the noise is a serious problem of pollution, called environmental noise pollution that affects human physiology and security in our daily lives.

The cost of professional equipment for the assessment of environmental noise (Sonometro or SLM), which is a device for measuring sound pressure level, can rise to thousands of dollars and that is, most of the time, outside the budget of people interested in making a noise study. There are other lower cost options available in the market, but sacrifice accuracy and precision. Fortunately today the modern student can make use of software, hardware and PC, for studying this field at very low cost.

A virtual instrument is a software application that simulates the functional aspects of the real instrument, based on a PC, in this case. The environment, the design of an instrumentation system is to make a graphical representation of the physical instrument, designing control flags (buttons) to the logical to physical instrument.

There is now software for implementing specialized programs in this field, such as: LabVIEW; Agilent-VEE (antes HP-VEE); Cyber Tools; Beta Instruments Manager; LabWindows/CVI; HPVEE; and MATLAB. These programs are capable of supporting the 3 aspects or functions of a conventional instrumentation system: 1. Data Acquisition (sound card of the PC, data acquisition Toolbox) 2. Analysis of signals (PDS Toolbox) 3. User interface GUIDE.

This paper presents a study and evaluation of environmental noise through quick, practical and acceptable results.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The system that was use as virtual instrument computer (PC) and software to simulate a sound level meter has the following functions:

a) The sound level meter (sonometro) or SLM_AC (Sound Level Meter and C-weighted), it is an instrumentation system virtual that measure the sound pressure. Its general features considered for the design are: 1) Measurement of sound pressure level, SPL. 2) Weighting A, C, flat or linear. 3) Fast and slow time weighting. 4) Meter Class 2 or 3.

b) A measurement system performs three basic functions: 1. Signal acquisition; 2. The processing data; and 3.- the presentation or transmission of data. That in general is achieved by the hardware of data acquisition, signal conditioning, computer and instrumentation software. Fig. 1, shows the elements of a measurement system.

Fig. 1. Diagram of the measurement of sound pressure on a PC.

The functions and virtual design SLM_AC measurement system were carried out in Windows Vista.

A sensor type was selected according to the acquisition card, the PC hardware and software requirements of the
signal to be measured.

A sound pressure signal with a frequency range of 20 to 20000 Hz was measured. The sampling rate according to the Nyquist criterion must be at least 40 kHz. Therefore, the sampling rate of 44.1 kHz was used.

A notebook with Windows Vista Home Premium operating system, AMD Turion 64 X2 Mobile Technology 2 GHz processor, 3 GB RAM, and 32-bit MATLAB instrumentation Software, were employed.

A variable sound pressure was measured with a SLM. A microphone was used as sensor; the sound signal is generated and converted into an electrical signal (analog) through the microphone. Different types of microphone were used, with features such as precision, accuracy and sensitivity. The selected microphones depended on the accuracy and correctness. A free field condenser microphone was selected, for its flat response in the frequency range 20 to 20000 Hz. In addition, a sound card installed in the computer was used.

The steps and the set of instructions for performing the system functions in MATLAB, were: 1. Creating an object of the device, 2. Configuring the acquisition channels, 3. Setting the object properties. The sampling rate, the acquisition time and the number of bits for the quantization are set. The device, 2. Configuring of the acquisition channels, 3. Setting the object properties. The sampling rate, the acquisition time and the number of bits for the quantization were also set. The acquisition time and the number of bits for the quantization Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 were also set. The free field condenser microphone was selected, for its flat response in the frequency range 20 to 20000 Hz. In addition, a sound card installed in the computer was used.
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\[
A(f) = \frac{A_1^2 F_1^2 A_2^2 f^4}{(f^2 + F_1^2)^2(f^2 + F_2^2)/(f^2 + F_3^2)} \quad (3)
\]
\[
C(f) = \frac{A_1 F_1^4 f^4}{(f^2 + F_1^2)^2} \quad (4)
\]

where \( W_A = 10 \log A(f) \) y \( W_C = 10 \log C(f) \)

\[
A_1 = 1.007152, \quad A_2 = 1.249936, \quad F_1 = 20.598997 \text{ Hz}, \quad F_2 = 107.65265 \text{ Hz}, \quad F_3 = 737.86223 \text{ Hz}, \quad F_4 = 12194.217 \text{ Hz}
\]

From Equation (2) it is possible to determine the frequencies \( f_k \), corresponding to the magnitudes, \( X[k] \), of the FFT. These are used to evaluate the coefficients of the weighting filters using the previous equations.

From Equation (2) it is possible to determine the frequencies \( f_k \), corresponding to the magnitudes, \( X[k] \), of the FFT. These are used to evaluate the coefficients of the weighting filters using the previous equations.

\[
\text{Fig. 4. Weighting filters A y C.}
\]

Samples of the FFT weighting filter applied are:

\[
X_A[k] = A[f_k] X[k] \quad X_C[k] = C[f_k] X[k] \quad \text{para} \quad f_k = k \Delta f \quad (5)
\]

The magnitude values in dB which must pass the line weighting curves A and C are presented in Fig. 4. This Fig also displays the values obtained using equations (3) and (4) to draw the curves, by calculating the difference between the values obtained and the reference values according to NOM-AA-59-1978[9]. It is shown that the error is minimal and is due to the implementation of the filters. Although the variation is small, it is important to keep in mind, since it forms part of the total error of the virtual instrument.

The calculation of the sound level in dB is achieved after obtaining the weighted frequency spectrum, A or C, integrating the total energy of the signal over intervals of rapid response or slow response, is for determine the level of the signal in dB (linear response, or in any weight) Fig. 4.

The direct calculation of the signal energy, defined as the sum of the squares of the magnitudes of the samples in the time domain, would perform the inverse FFT, increasing the number and complexity of the calculations to be performed. This problem can be avoided by applying Parseval's relation and to estimate the energy of the signal in the frequency domain.

Parseval's relation is given by:

\[
\varepsilon_s = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |x[n]|^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |X[k]|^2 \quad (6)
\]

Samples of the input signal have real values in the time domain. Therefore, according to the properties of the DFT, the frequency spectrum is symmetrical around sample N/2.

This allows calculation of the energy of the signal using only the first N/2 +1 samples X[k]. Were evaluated with the corresponding weighting filter (XA [k], XC [k] or linear) as follows:

\[
\varepsilon_s \approx \frac{2}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N/2} |X_{Parallel}[k]|^2 \quad \text{para} \quad x[n] \in \mathbb{R} \quad (7)
\]

The average instantaneous signal energy should be considered by interval observation of the data stream:

\[
\varepsilon_s \approx \frac{1}{N_T} \sum_{k=0}^{N/2} |X_{Parallel}[k]|^2 \quad (8)
\]

\( T_s \), is the sampling period of the signal, \( N_T \), the duration of the data window (0.185s and 1.486s for slow and fast response, respectively).

The calculation of the level in dB of the energy of the signal requires a standard reference level of 0.000204 dynes/cm•. It is difficult to know which input voltage is equal to the reference pressure to solve it. The signal level in dB is obtained by:

\[
\text{Nivel en dB} = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{\varepsilon_s}{\varepsilon_{ref}} \right) = 10 \log_{10} (\varepsilon_s) - 10 \log_{10} (\varepsilon_{ref}) \quad (9)
\]

10 log(\varepsilon_{ref}) is a constant, so it is possible to express the signal level in dB as follows:

\[
\text{Nivel en dB} = 10 \log_{10} (\varepsilon_s) + C \quad (10)
\]

The calibration constant, \( C \), can be determined experimentally.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The GUI (GUIDE, Graphical User Interface Development Environment) of MATLAB (Fig. 5) was employed for the results presentation.

Before acquiring data, it is required to select the type of temporal response (slow or fast). Data acquisition is started / stopped by clicking on the button ON/OFF. The interface also lets you select the type of frequency weighting used, that is, weighting A, C or linear. To change the conditions of signal processing, it is needed to first stop the acquisition (OFF), and select the new parameters (response and weighting).

\[
\text{Fig. 5. User Interface virtual sound level meter, the instrument allows the choice of response and weighting.}
\]
The output window displays: 1. the input signal in the top left; 2. the weighted frequency spectrum A, C or linear and 3. The estimation of the level in dB (Fig. 6).

![Input Signal FFT Plot Show Level in dB graph]

Fig. 6. Data displayed to the user.

It is advisable to use a larger data stream and which provides greater resolution in frequency discrimination. This enhances the functionality and usefulness of the system. The use of fast response (low resolution windows of 8192 samples) or slow response (high resolution, 65536 samples per window) is crucial as it may incur significant errors at low frequencies.

The frequency response of the microphone used significantly influences when looking for accuracy, because the calibration method used, compensates for a single point. If the sensor does not respond well to the entire frequency spectrum, the correction is needed across the spectrum.

According to tests carried out accurately, implementation and evaluation SLM_AC reaches a sound level meter type or class 3, so that its use as it stands is limited to employment for surveys and measurements approximate.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Virtual instrumentation is an indispensable tool to study, measure and evaluate complex conditions, such as acoustic spaces.

The system that was use as virtual instrument computer (PC) and software to simulate a sound level meter, becomes a huge advantage in the design, cost and versatility of the instrument, since its functions and parameters can be easily redefined by changes in the programmed instructions.

The implemented noise measurement system presents a friendly interface to the user via a GUI and reduces time and costs in the determination of environmental noise measurements quite reliably.
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